NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE, D047827

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v. (Super. Ct. No. SCD189855)

DOUGLAS A. DOWSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Kerry Wells, Judge. Affirmed.

After the court denied a motion to suppress evidence (Pen. Code, § 1538.5),

Douglas A. Dowson entered a negotiated guilty plea to sodomy of an intoxicated person

(Pen. Code, § 286, subd. (i)). He waived appeal from denial of the motion to suppress

evidence and gave a *Harvey* waiver (*People v. Harvey* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 754.) The court

sentenced him to prison for the three-year lower term. The record does not include a certificate of probable cause. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b).)

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as a possible but not arguable issue whether the trial court erred in denying probation. ¹

We granted Dowson permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issues referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Dowson on this appeal.

Because Dowson entered a guilty plea, he cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5; *People v. Martin* (1973) 9 Cal.3d 687, 693.) We need not recite the facts.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.	
	AARON, J.
WE CONCUR:	
O'ROURKE, Acting P. J.	
IRION, J.	